Ş

Energy Partitioning of Tunneling Currents into Luttinger Liquids

Torsten Karzig,¹ Gil Refael,² Leonid I. Glazman,³ and Felix von Oppen¹

¹Dahlem Center for Complex Quantum Systems and Fachbereich Physik, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany ²Department of Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA ³Department of Physics, Yale University, 217 Prospect Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA (Received 19 August 2011; published 18 October 2011)

Tunneling of electrons of definite chirality into a quantum wire creates counterpropagating excitations, carrying both charge and energy. We find that the partitioning of energy is qualitatively different from that of charge. The partition ratio of energy depends on the excess energy of the tunneling electrons (controlled by the applied bias) and on the interaction strength within the wire (characterized by the Luttinger-liquid parameter κ), while the partitioning of charge is fully determined by κ . Moreover, unlike for charge currents, the partitioning of energy current should manifest itself in dc experiments on wires contacted by conventional (Fermi-liquid) leads.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.176403

PACS numbers: 71.10.Pm, 72.15.Eb, 72.15.Nj

Journal club 25-10-201, by Kevin van Hoogdalem



Electrons tunnel from top wire (blue) into bottom wire (green)







k

 ϵ



Electrons tunnel from top wire (blue) into bottom wire (green)





Electrons tunnel from top wire (blue) into bottom wire (green)





Electrons tunnel from top wire (blue) into bottom wire (green)





Electrons tunnel from top wire (blue) into bottom wire (green)





Electrons tunnel from top wire (blue) into bottom wire (green)













With interactions, excitations are density waves $\rho_{\pm}(x)$

With interactions, excitations are density waves $\rho_{\pm}(x)$

electron excitations have a finite lifetime: Spectral function is not sharp

With interactions, excitations are density waves $\rho_{\pm}(x)$

electron excitations have a finite lifetime: Spectral function is not sharp





HAMILTONIAN

Description by bosonic fields $\phi(x) \propto \rho_{+}(x) + \rho_{-}(x)$ $\theta(x) \propto \rho_{+}(x) - \rho_{-}(x)$ $\theta_{\pm}(x) = \theta(x) \pm \phi(x)/\kappa$

HAMILTONIAN

Description by bosonic fields $\phi(x) \propto \rho_{+}(x) + \rho_{-}(x) \qquad \theta(x) \propto \rho_{+}(x) - \rho_{-}(x)$ $\theta_{\pm}(x) = \theta(x) \pm \phi(x)/\kappa$ Hamiltonian wire

$$H = \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}x \sum_{\alpha=\pm} (\nabla \theta_\alpha)^2$$

HAMILTONIAN

Description by bosonic fields $\phi(x) \propto \rho_{+}(x) + \rho_{-}(x)$ $\theta(x) \propto \rho_{+}(x) - \rho_{-}(x)$ $\theta_{\pm}(x) = \theta(x) \pm \phi(x)/\kappa$

Hamiltonian wire

$$H = \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}x \sum_{\alpha=\pm} (\nabla \theta_\alpha)^2$$

Tunneling Hamiltonian

$$H_T = t \int_S \mathrm{d}x \left[\psi_R^{\dagger}(x) \psi_S(x) + \mathrm{h.c.} \right]$$



Total charge current:

 $I(x,t) = \frac{ie}{\hbar} \left[H_T, \rho_-(x) + \rho_+(x) \right]$

Left-going current:

 $I_{-}(x,t) = \frac{ie}{\hbar} \left[H_{T}, \rho_{-}(x) \right] = \frac{1-\kappa}{2} I(x,t)$

Right-going current:

$$I_{+}(x,t) = \frac{ie}{\hbar} \left[H_{T}, \rho_{+}(x) \right] = \frac{1+\kappa}{2} I(x,t)$$



Electron coming in (Charge I, momentum mv_F) Eigenstates are CDWs (Charge Q_{\pm} , momentum $mc=mv_F/K$)

Conservation of charge and momentum

$$mv_F = Q_+mc_-Q_-mc$$
$$Q_++Q_-=|$$

Gives
$$Q_{+}=(|+K)/2$$
 and $Q_{-}=(|-K)/2$







In the leads: $I_{+}(x,t) = I(x,t)$, $I_{-}(x,t) = 0$

LOOK AT ENERGY CURRENTS

Definition energy current:

$$I_{\pm}^{E} = i \left[H_{T}, \frac{v_{F}}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}x \left(\nabla \theta_{\pm} \right)^{2} \right]$$

LOOK AT ENERGY CURRENTS

Definition energy current:

$$I_{\pm}^{E} = i \left[H_{T}, \frac{v_{F}}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}x \left(\nabla \theta_{\pm} \right)^{2} \right]$$

To leading order in tunneling

$$\langle I_{\pm}^E \rangle = \frac{Q_{\pm}^2 t^2 L_S}{\kappa} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_q \{ G_{+,k\mp q}^{>}(\epsilon_S - \omega_q) \\ \times G_{S,k}^{<}(\epsilon_S - eV) + G_{+,k\pm q}^{<}(\epsilon_S + \omega_q) G_{S,k}^{>}(\epsilon_S - eV) \}$$

LOOK AT ENERGY CURRENTS

Definition energy current:

$$I_{\pm}^{E} = i \left[H_{T}, \frac{v_{F}}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}x \left(\nabla \theta_{\pm} \right)^{2} \right]$$

To leading order in tunneling

$$\langle I_{\pm}^E \rangle = \frac{Q_{\pm}^2 t^2 L_S}{\kappa} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega_q \{ G_{+,k\mp q}^{>}(\epsilon_S - \omega_q) \\ \times G_{S,k}^{<}(\epsilon_S - eV) + G_{+,k\pm q}^{<}(\epsilon_S + \omega_q) G_{S,k}^{>}(\epsilon_S - eV) \}$$

Greens functions are given by

 $G_k^{>}(\epsilon) = -iA(k,\epsilon) \left[1 - n_F(\epsilon)\right] \qquad A_{\pm} \propto |\omega \mp ck|^{\varphi - 1} |\omega \pm ck|^{\varphi} \theta(|\omega| - c|k|)$ $\varphi = (\kappa + \kappa^{-1} - 2)/4$

COMPARISON ENERGY AND CHARGE CURRENT





Differential conductance

Energy current

Charge current

 $\frac{d\left\langle I_{\pm}^{E}\right\rangle/dV}{d\left\langle I\right\rangle/dV} = \frac{1}{2}(eV\pm ck_{V})$

$$\frac{d\left\langle I_{\pm}\right\rangle /dV}{d\left\langle I\right\rangle /dV} = Q_{\pm}$$

CONSERVATION ARGUMENT



Electron with energy > Fermi energy $\epsilon = c|k_+| + c|k_-|$ $k_V = k_+ + k_-$ So energy in density waves $\epsilon_+ = c|k_+| = (\epsilon \pm ck_V)/2$

Condition for energy going to the left, charge going to the right: $eV \approx -ck_V$ and $Q_+ \approx 1$

MEASURING ENERGY CURRENT



Energy in density waves

$$\epsilon_{\pm} = c|k_{\pm}| = (\epsilon \pm ck_V)$$

Tunneling possible for: $\epsilon_{\pm} > \epsilon_{out}^{R/L}$

With Fermi leads

For low energies: $R_E = (c - v_F)^2 / (c + v_F)^2$

For high energies: Reflection exponentially suppressed

Tuesday, October 25, 11

THE END