Universal spin-triplet superconducting correlations of Majorana fermions Xin Liu, Jay D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma;arXiv:1501.07273

Marcel Serina

February 9, 2015

CMT journal club

Table of contents



- 2 S-T correl. of MFs
- 3 MF-induced resonant spin-triplet AR



Current context

- MFs in topological superconductors: Symmetry protected zero-energy subgap states, equal weight electron-hole superposition, $\gamma=\gamma^\dagger$
- Unique transport signatures: quantized 0-bias conductance, fractional Josephson effect
- Open challenge: to manipulate MFs by their spin properties

Resume of results

- MFs have only spin-triplet superconducting correlations which is model independent and guaranteed by the particle-hole symmetry for the TSCs in D class and particle-hole as well as time-reversal symmetries for the TSCs in DIII class.
- No matter whether the bulk superconducting gap is spin singlet or triplet, the MF-induced resonant Andreev reflection (AR) only injects spin-triplet Cooper pairs into the normal lead.
- New transport signature of MFs: TSC/spin-orbit coupl. semicond./TSC setup. SOC controlled oscillatory critical Josephson current.

Comments I

- $\bullet\,$ Following is known from the zoology of TSCs in 1 and from random-matrix theory in 2
- 4 classes of TSCs based on the symmetries of Hamiltonian are possible. Relevant are time-rev. (TR), particle-hole (PH) and spin-rotation SU(2). For TSC in BdG description PH is always present. DIII respects TR, D does not. Both do not respect SU(2), resulting $[\mathcal{H}_{BdG}; S_i] \neq 0$. S_i are SU(2) generators in BdG representation.
- Zero energy eigenstates are possible only in D and DIII. Those classes do not commute with S_i thus their eigenstates are not spin singlets. So MFs here cannot be spin singlets.

¹Andreas P. Schnyder et al., PhysRevB.78.195125 (2008) ²C.W.J.Beenakker et al., PhysRevB.83.085413 (2011)

Comments II

- We can further investigate the SU(2) spin structure of D and DIII classes by calculating the commutators with SU(2) generators, construct the SU(2) representation and we find that eigenstates of these classes have always spin triplet structure.
- Based on these arguments, fact that MFs are always spin triplets is obvious.

Off-diagonal spectral function I

• From symmetry arguments, it can be shown that block off-diagonal part of the spectral function in the 4-component spinor basis $(\psi_{\uparrow}, \psi_{\downarrow}, \psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}, -\psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger})$, with d_0 and **d** being the spin-singlet and triplet pairing amplitudes respectively, can be written as

$$A^{\text{off}}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_0 \sigma_0 + \mathbf{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ [d_0 \sigma_0 + \mathbf{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}]^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• PH symmetry for 0-energy spectral function requires $\hat{C}A(E=0)\hat{C}^{-1} = A(E=0)$, where $\hat{C} = \tau_y \sigma_y K$ is the particle-hole operator and $\tau_{x,y,z}$ are the Pauli matrices in particle-hole space, $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ in spin space and K is complex conjugation.

Off-diagonal spectral function II

$$\hat{C}A^{\text{off}}\hat{C}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-d_0\sigma_0 + \mathbf{d} \cdot \sigma) \\ [(-d_0\sigma_0 + \mathbf{d} \cdot \sigma)]^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- That gives us $d_0 = 0$, thus PH symmetry completely forbids spin-singlet pairing and allows spin-triplet only.
- D class has only one MF mode and everything is done. DIII class has two MF modes, which can appear as one fermion resulting in zero A^{off}. But TR symmetry guarantees that two MFs belong to different spin channel, what gives us triplet pairing again.

Scattering matrix of SC/NM junction

 $\bullet\,$ General form of S-matrix on the interface of SC/normal metal according to $^3\colon$

$$\hat{R}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{r}_{ee}(E) & \hat{r}_{eh}(E) \\ \hat{r}_{he}(E) & \hat{r}_{hh}(E) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{r}_{eh}(E) = a_0\sigma_0 + a_j\sigma_j = -\sigma_y \hat{r}_{he}^*(-E)\sigma_y$$

$$\hat{r}_{ee}(E) = b_0\sigma_0 + b_j\sigma_j = \sigma_y \hat{r}_{hh}^*(-E)\sigma_y$$

• $\hat{r}_{(ee,hh)}$ and $\hat{r}_{eh,he}$ are the normal reflection and AR matrices respectively, and $b_{0,x,y,z}$ and $a_{0,x,y,z}$ are the normal reflection and AR coefficients respectively

³C.W.J.Beenakker et al., PhysRevB.83.085413 (2011)

Symmetry properties of S-matrix

- a_0 provides spin-singlet channel, $a_{x,y,z}$ spin-triplet channels. As MFs are only spin-triplets we expect that MF induced resonant AR can occur only in spin-triplet channels. Authors show this first for E = 0 with S-matrix theory for DII class and numerically calculate the S-matrix further from E = 0inside the gap for both classes.
- For D class TSC it is known⁴ that incoming electron and reflected hole in resonant AR have the same spin, thus providing spin-triplet channel.

⁴J.J.He et al., PhysRevLett.112.037001 (2014)

Majorana-induced resonant Andreev reflection (MIRAR)



(a) Conventional resonant tunneling: two leads are coupled to a resonant level with coupling amplitudes t_1 and t_2 , respectively. Resonant tunneling with unity transmission probability can happen only if $t_1 = t_2$. (b) MIRAR: a single-lead coupled to a Majorana level plays the role of both an electron lead and a hole lead. The coupling amplitudes of the leads to the Majorana level are ensured to be the same. The Majorana mode is attached to a superconductor which is grounded.

DIII class TSC/NM interface

- For a DIII class TSC/NM interface, the condition $\hat{T}\hat{R}^{\dagger}\hat{T}^{-1} = \hat{R}$, due to time reversal symmetry, constrains $b_{x,y,z} = 0$. Here $\hat{T} = i\sigma_y K$. The unitarity of \hat{R} further requires either $(|b_0|^2 + |a_0|^2 = 1 \text{ and } a_x = a_y = a_z = 0)$ or $(|a_x|^2 + |a_y|^2 + |a_z|^2 = 1 \text{ and } b_0 = a_0 = 0)$.
- The former corresponds to the trivial spin-singlet AR with $Q = Pf(i\sigma_y \hat{R}) = 1$. More importantly, the latter indicates the perfect spin-triplet AR and results in the nontrivial topological invariant Q = -1.

Model Hamiltonians I

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\rm D}_{\rm TS} &= (-2t\cos(k) - \mu_{\rm s})\tau_z \otimes \sigma_0 - M\tau_0 \otimes \sigma_z \\ &+ 2t_{\rm so}\sin(k)\tau_z \otimes \sigma_y + \Delta\tau_x \otimes \sigma_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\cal H}_{\rm TS}^{\rm DIII} & = & (-2t\cos(k)-\mu_{\rm s})\tau_z\otimes\sigma_0 \\ & & +2t_{\rm so}\sin(k)\tau_z\otimes\sigma_z+\Delta(k)\tau_{\rm x}\otimes\sigma_0 \end{array}$$

t is the spin independent hopping, $t_{\rm so}$ is the SOC hopping and $\mu_{\rm s}$ is the chemical potential of the SOC wire with M the Zeeman coupling strength and Δ the proximity induced superconducting gap. In DIII $\Delta(k) = (\Delta_0 - \Delta_1 \cos(k))$

Model Hamiltonians II

- $H_{\rm NM} = (-2t\cos(k) \mu_{\rm n})\tau_z \otimes \sigma_0$ is the Hamiltonian of normal metal. Authors calculate S-matrix at $x = x_1$ (site in the NM closest to the TSC) using Fisher-Lee relation $\hat{R}_{ij}(E, r) = -\delta_{ij} + i\hbar\sqrt{v_iv_j}G_{ij}^R(E, r)$, where $G^{\rm R}$ is the retarded Green's function with $i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $v_{i(j)}$ is the velocity of the particle at energy E in i(j) channels.
- Aim is to see the difference in AR amplitude in the trivial and topological state as well as compare the results for both classes

S-matrix analysis for D class Hamiltonian

• (a) Time-reversal broken TSC/NM junction. The red peak indicates the location of the barrier with the potential U = 2t. (b) and (c) The superconductor is in the topological nontrivial regime. (d) and (f) The superconductor is in the topological trivial regime.



S-matrix analysis for DIII class Hamiltonian

 TR invariant TSC/NM junction. The bulk dispersion of the TSC shows two SC gaps. The inset shows the sign of the minimal gap Δ_{\min} is negative. The conductance of the TSC/NM junction as a function of energy. AR probabilities for spin-singlet and spin-triplet channels.



SOC controlled critical Josephson current I

- Authors have shown, that MIRAR always injects spin-triplet Cooper pairs. Recet studies show⁵, that SOC can rotate the d vector of the spin-triplet Cooper pairs and leave spin-singlet ones unaffected.
- SOC can then distinguish singlet and triplet Cooper pairs. Authors assume TSC/SOC-semicond./TSC Josephson junction and show that rotation of **d** vector results in oscillation of the critical Josephson current. TSC is described by $H_{\rm TS}^{\rm D}$.
- $H_{\text{semi}} = (-2t \cos(ka) \mu_s)\tau_z \otimes \sigma_0 + 2t'_{\text{so}} \sin(ka)\tau_z \otimes \sigma_y$, what induces precession of spin in x z plane, so $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ changes to $|\nearrow \nearrow \rangle$ and $\langle\uparrow\uparrow | \nearrow \nearrow \rangle = (1 + \cos\theta)/2$.

⁵Xin Liu et al., PhysRevLett.113.227002 (2014)

SOC controlled critical Josephson current II

- $\theta = N \frac{t'_{so}}{t}$, N is the number of sites in the normal SOC region and this holds in the limit $t'_{so} \ll t$
- $I_{\rm s} = \frac{2e}{h} \sum_{n} \partial E_n / \partial \phi = I_c \sin(\phi/2)$ where I_c is the critical current, with the summation over all the negative Andreev levels.
- Similar results have been predicted for SFS junctions, where MFs do not play any role and where 0π transition is observed for $I_c \rightarrow 0$. In contrast, here we do not observe such transition. Authors claim, that their prediction of the SOC controlled critical current is a transport signature of MFs.

SOC controlled critical Josephson current III

• (a) TSC/SOC/TSC junction. (b) Andreev levels for different SOC strengths which correspond to the precession angles $\theta = 0, 3\pi/4, \pi, 5\pi/4, 2\pi$ respectively. (c) The oscillatory critical current as a function of θ . The current is normalized by the critical current at $t_{so}' = 0.$



Summary

- MFs as a zero energy bound states of TSCs always have spin-triplet correlations regardless of the bulk superconducting gap.
- This property can be used in TSC/SOC-semicond./TSC setup to obtain the SOC-dependent critical Josephson current as another transport signature of MFs.